

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trader of Commerce

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/867,046	05/29/2001	Nathanael Hill	ER-090-US-01	6093
7	7590 . 07/11/2003			
	ompany, Patent Depa	EXAMINER		
1200 Willow L P.O. Box 6468	3	HORTON, YVONNE MICHELE		
St. Paul, MN	55164-0683		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3635	-
			DATE MAILED: 07/11/2003	}

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

do (112 clair 13)

In clair 13

In other water

Portugation that the

relation of the distribution of the souther

swhere of the souther the

swhere in odding the

surface channel

surface the souther

and thousand metal deposits perha
and thou

(mns 1-12 allow 50 obj 122 rd) 51 rd 122 rd) 51 rd 122 rd) 52 obj 22-32 112 rej 39-43 103 rej miles mi PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

SI

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/867,046

Applicant(s)

Nathaneal Hill

Examiner

YVONNE M. HORTON

Art Unit **3635**



	- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -			
	for Reply				
THE N	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In date of this communication.	TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the	nd will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Apr 16, 2	003			
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) This act	ion is non-final.			
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) 1-52	is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 💢	Claim(s) 1-12, 27-37, and 44-48	is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) 13, 38, 39, 41, 49, and 51	is/are rejected.			
7) 💢	Claim(s) 14-26, 40, 42, 43, 50, and 52	is/are objected to.			
8) 🗀	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
	tion Papers				
9) 💢	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) 🗆	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.			
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received in Application No			
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea se the attached detailed Office action for a list of the 				
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
_	_				
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 					
Attachm	·	parati, inserior croster of the diagram that			
	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).			
2) No	tice of Draftsperson's Petent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) 🔲 Infe	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 09/867,046 Page 2

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed 4/16/03 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: there is no support in the specification for the channel comprising a "modified surface".

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 13-26,38-43 and 49-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is not clear if the "modified surface" is the same layer as the layer of bonded metal or a separate/different layer. In the claims appear to be claiming two different layers - a "modified surface" layer and a layer of bonded metal. However, as stated above, the "modified surface" layer is not supported in the specification, and until further clarification the claims are being examined in light of the specification with there being a channel having a layer of bonded metal.

Application/Control Number: 09/867,046 Page 3

Art Unit: 3635

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 49 and 51 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US

 Patent #6,403,465 to LIU et al. LIU et al. discloses a thermal barrier including a channel (150)

 disposed between a first and a second component (110) and having a layer of metal (130) bonded in the channel after being deposited from a plasma (IMP), and an adhesive composition (120) disposed in the channel (190); wherein the first and second structural components are bonded together.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 7. Claims 39-43 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent #6,403,465 to LIU et al. LIU et al. discloses a thermal barrier including a channel (150) having a layer of metal (130) bonded in the channel after being deposited from a plasma (IMP), and an adhesive composition (120) disposed in the channel (190). LIU et al discloses the basic claimed thermal barrier except for explicitly detailing the amount of adhesive shrinkage and shear strength. Although LIU et al. is silent in this regard, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the use intended. The applicant's details several different metals used in his thermal barrier. Thus, there does not

Application/Control Number: 09/867,046 Page 4

Art Unit: 3635

appear to be any criticality in the type of metal used to form the barrier. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that the selection of the type of adhesive would depend greatly on the kind of metal used to form the barrier. Hence, the selection of the desired amount of shrinkage and shear strength would also vary depending upon the kind of metal used.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 13,38,39,41,49 and 51 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 9. Claims 14-37,40,42,43,50,52 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 10. The claims remain as being allowed for the reasons set fourth in the previous Office Action dated 1/14/03.

Response to Arguments

11. Applicant's arguments filed 4/16/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In regards to the applicant's argument that LIU et al. Merely teaches a the prevention of copper diffusion, this is partially so. However, the prevention of copper diffusion is considered under thermal conditions ranging from temperatures between 20 to 450 degrees Celsius. Hence,

Application/Control Number: 09/867,046

Art Unit: 3635

the prevention of copper diffusion is heavily influence by the temperature and how the cooper

Page 5

forms a *thermal* "barrier", column 6, line 10-15, in response to the involved temperature.

Conclusion

12. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR

1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this

final action.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Yvonne M. Horton whose telephone number is (703) 308-1909.

Yvonne M

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3635 July 9, 2003

Carl D. Priedman

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Group 3600